



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,715	02/25/2002	Francis M. Creighton	5236-000313	5857	
75	90 11/04/2002				
Bryan K. Wheelock			EXAMINER		
Harness, Dickey Suite 400	& Pierce, P.L.C.		DONOVAN, I	DONOVAN, LINCOLN D	
7700 Bonhomme St. Louis, MO 63105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2922		

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)





Application No. Applicant(s)

Examiner

Lincoln Donovan

10/082,715

Art Unit 2832

Creighton



Office Action Summary

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for	Reply		
	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM	
	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
_	te of this communication. Id for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.	
- If NO perio	d for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	
- Any reply	eply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t		
earned pat Status	ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
	esponsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) 🗌 Th	nis action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.	
	nce this application is in condition for allowance eosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pai	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is re Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositio	n of Claims		
4) 💢 CI	aim(s) <u>1-30</u>	is/are pending in the application.	
4a)	Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆 CI	aim(s)	is/are allowed.	
6) 🗆 CI	aim(s)	is/are rejected.	
7)	aim(s)	is/are objected to.	
8) 💢 CI	aims <u>1-30</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Applicatio	n Papers		
9) 🗌 Ti	ne specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) 🗆 TI	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.	
A	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🗆 🗀	ne proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.	
li	f approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.	
12)□ TI	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗌 A	cknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) 🗀	All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:		
1. 9	Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.	
2 . ĺ	Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No	
3. [Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
*See	the attached detailed Office action for a list of the		
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
a) 🗌	The translation of the foreign language provisiona	l application has been received.	
15) 🗌 A	cknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment			
_	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Informa ن	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Uther:	

Application/Control Number: 10/082,715

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a permanent magnet, classified in class 335, subclass 302.
 - II. Claims 11-30, drawn to a method of making a permanent magnet, classified in class148, subclass 101.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the magnet can be made by using varying types of magnetic material.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims in group I directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Embodiment 1: claims 1-2 and 5-6, drawn to a desired magnetic field at a selected point (it is noted that claim 5 appears to be identical to claim 1);

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/082,715

Art Unit: 2832

Embodiment 2: claims 3-4 and 7, drawn to an undesired magnetic field at a selected point (it is noted that claim 5 appears to be identical to claim 3).

This application contains claims in group IV directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Embodiment 1:

claim 11;

Embodiment 2:

claim 12;

Embodiment 3:

claim 13;

Embodiment 4:

claim 14;

-Embodiment-5: -- --

-claim-15;- -

Embodiment 6:

claim 16;

Embodiment 7:

claim 17;

Embodiment 8:

claims 18-27; and

Embodiment 9:

claims 28-30.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 2832

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other-invention.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is (703) 308-3111.

The fax number for this Group is (703)-872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

LDD

November 2, 2002